

**MINUTES**  
**WADSWORTH CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**July 21, 2020**

*Meeting held remotely through Videoconferencing per HR 197*

*The regular meeting of Wadsworth City Council, Tuesday, July 21, 2020, at 5:00 p.m., was held through means of electronic video conferencing. City Hall was not open to the public for this meeting. The meeting was broadcast live on CityLink Channels 17 & 329; live on Spectrum Channel 1024; and streamed live on [www.WatchWCTV.com](http://www.WatchWCTV.com). The recorded meeting can also be viewed at [www.WatchWCTV.com](http://www.WatchWCTV.com).*

**PRESIDING:**

Bob Thurber, *President of Council*

**MEMBERS OF COUNCIL PRESENT:**

Ralph Copley, Bruce Darlington, Patty Haskins, Jeanne Hines, Tom Stugmyer, David Williams, Jon Yurchiak

**OFFICIALS PRESENT:**

Mayor Robin Laubaugh, Director of Public Service Robert Patrick, Director of Public Safety Matt Hiscock, Auditor Catherine Fix, Planning Director Jeff Kaiser, Director of Law Director Tom Morris, Clerk of Council Tammy Guenther

**OTHERS PRESENT:**

- *Attorney Michael Thompson, Henderson, Covington, Messenger, Newman & Thomas Co., L.P.A. Youngstown\**
- *Ron Anderson, President of Universal Development\**

**Mr. Thurber** called the meeting to order at approximately 5:00 p.m. Meeting participants joined the Council President in saying the Pledge of Allegiance. The Council President gave the Invocation and the Clerk of Council called the roll.

**MINUTES:** Ms. Haskins made a motion, which was seconded by **Mr. Stugmyer**, to adopt the minutes of the Council meeting of **June 30, 2020**. An all-in-favor vote was taken. **THE COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES of June 30, 2020 WERE APPROVED.**

**PUBLIC HEARING:** **ORDINANCE NO. 20-032 (3<sup>rd</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTIONS 154.473, 154.475, 154.484 AND SECTION 154.486 Tables B, C AND D, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

Mr. Thurber stated that Council would hold a scheduled public hearing on Ordinance No. 20-032 in regard to changes in the sign code. He asked Ms. Guenther to read the title of the ordinance, which she did. Mr. Thurber invited the Planning Director Jeff Kaiser to tell them about the Planning Commission's recommendations.

**Mr. Kaiser** said the primary purpose for the ordinance was to bring the zoning code regulations for signage in downtown Wadsworth into better compliance with the historic design guidelines that the City had for the downtown. It also made a few other minor changes. He would go through them quickly. The first item

was to add two new definitions: one for feather signs and one for historic signs. They were two types of signs that were not included previously in the definitions. The feather signs were of the type that they were seeing more. This was a form of a wind sign and that were technically prohibited in the City.

The next change corresponded to 154.475, which was the commercial sign regulations. The paragraph "E" was added. It basically referenced the Historic Main Street Design Guidelines and indicated that properties that fell within the design review district would need to follow the guidelines when making those changes. The next change, 154.484, was the list of prohibited signs. The only change there was to add the term "feather signs" to subparagraph "C," which again as noted earlier was a type of wind-blown or moving sign.

The other changes were to the tables themselves. The dealt with sign illumination in the downtown. The items in red on the handout were what were added. Some of the language about illumination had been crossed out. Basically, for wall-mounted signage, the intent was to keep those flush with the wall of the building. It shouldn't be on any kind of track or panel that would lead to sticking out more than six inches from the wall's surface. Illumination could occur through spotlights only and all of the lighting sources should be aimed downward. In terms of awning signage, they had clarified that signage should be located only on the valance or skirt of the awning to give a uniform look. No designs or logos were permitted on the slanted or roof portion of the awning.

Projecting signage changes, as well as those for entrances and exits, for vehicles (there were not that many downtown but they had to be addressed) determined that illumination would be through spotlighting only.

Two other tables were changed, said Mr. Kaiser, which included Table C for permanent signs in the C-1 and C-5 Districts. The first change was that they broke it down into two segments. The first was Part A, which outlined the sign allowances. No changes were made within the table itself. What had changed was that a Paragraph "B" was added. It indicated that those properties that were in a C-1 or C-5 District and also happened to be within the Historic Main Street Design Review District would need to follow the sign allowances that they saw in Table B for the Central Business District. The same went for Table D, for the C-3, C-4 use districts. It was broken, again, into two parts. Part A were the sign allowances with no changes there, but adding a Paragraph B so that any property, any C-3 District that also happened to be in the Overlay District that the Design Review followed the signage requirements for downtown.

Mr. Kaiser noted there were a few areas around the core of downtown that were zoned C-1 and C-3, which was why the changes were made to the tables. He also wanted to let them know in coming up with the changes, they worked closely with Main Street Wadsworth and also Heritage Ohio, which was the overseeing organization to the whole Main Street Program. Before bringing the changes to Planning Commission, they had asked for their input from Columbus and they were satisfied with what the City was doing, and felt it was appropriate for a Historic Main Street District.

Mr. Kaiser concluded his report by asking for questions. There were none at that point.

**PUBLIC HEARING OPENED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT:** Mr. Thurber thanked the Planning Director for a thorough explanation and opening the hearing for comment. Due to holding meetings remotely, however, Mr. Thurber explained that the public was invited to submit comments regarding Ordinance 20-032 ahead of time and notified that they would be given instructions on how to join this

meeting. Mr. Thurber asked the Clerk of Council whether there were any requests or comments from the public. **Ms. Guenther** responded that there were none. Seeing that was the case, Mr. Thurber declared the **COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING WAS CLOSED FOR ORDINANCE NO 20-032.**

Mr. Thurber continued that per the Rules of Council, Rule 17, the Council did not typically vote on the legislation on the night of the public hearing. Tonight would be different. Mr. Stugmyer would set that aside and he would explain why at that time.

Mr. Thurber moved to the legislation.

**LEGISLATION:**

*The following legislation was read for the first time and acted upon as follows:*

First on the agenda, Mr. Thurber continued, was an annexation ordinance, with Attorney Michael Thompson and his client joining them to give a presentation. He asked that the Clerk read the title of the legislation, which she did.

**ORDINANCE 20-039 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO ACCEPT THE APPLICATION FOR ANNEXATION OF 81.9108 ACRES OF LAND KNOWN AS BEING PART OF WADSWORTH TOWNSHIP TO THE CITY OF WADSWORTH AND TO DECLARE AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member Thomas Stugmyer
- Location: Reimer Road

**Mr. Thompson** thanked the Council for letting them be there that evening. He represented Universal Development. With him that night was Ron Anderson from Universal Development. They had two laptops going but noticed that two microphones could create problems with Zoom meetings so they had just Mr. Thompson's microphone activated. Mr. Anderson was sitting to Mr. Thompson's right. Mr. Thompson said that Mr. Anderson would like to give a brief description of the project and then Mr. Thompson had a few thoughts on how it fit into their zoning code and why this annexation would be appropriate. He turned the time over to Ron Anderson, president of Universal Development.

**Mr. Anderson** thanked the Council for allowing them to attend. He wanted to give them a little background. He had been in business for over 25 years building this product that they planned to build in their community. He thought they had all received a packet that would give them a little flavor for what they were there for and for what they would like to do, subject to the approvals of engineering and zoning, of course. This project was designed to target the customer that most people left behind, which was the over 50 crowd – empty nesters who had no more use for a single family home. It was really the steps. This was a one story with an attached garages and a maintenance-free exterior. There was a bigger need than anybody had really identified. They had proven that with probably 25 projects around the country. So they knew the customers and knew what they were looking for and that was where they were with it. He gladly offered to take any questions from the information that was sent. The package was a little bit sparse, but got them thinking.

Mr. Thompson spoke up before questions were offered to share a few thoughts on how the project fit into the zoning code. The piece of land that they were talking about was identified in the 2011 Comprehensive Plan as part of "New Growth Area North." Residential uses were considered appropriate. In addition, it was the entirety of "Annexation Area B" in the 2019 Annexation Policy. Not all of the land that would be annexed would be part of this development. There was one ten-acre parcel at the west edge, closest to

Reimer Road Baptist Church that was not part of the development puzzle. The other three parcels – two in a row and a land-locked parcel up in the northeast corner – were part of it. In that Area B, this proposal and the plan that was presented in the site plan would fit under R-2 zoning, which was the existing Wadsworth Township zoning and under conditional uses in Section 154.545 and .546 in the zoning code.

They believed it fulfilled all of the general policy requirements of the zoning code, as well as the specific requirements in that area. In addition, they believed, as one of the criteria of considering an annexation was because what was proposed was a somewhat higher residential density than single family, detached homes, it was more likely to bring them to a breakeven point or higher in terms of tax revenues to provide for payment of the costs of providing utilities and so forth. In addition, this proposed development would provide a logical transition between different forms of housing that the City currently had in that area. To south, they had the very dense, maybe six, eight or ten per acre multi-unit housing development. At the north end, they had the single family, detached Tiberon Trace. This would fall, in terms of density, somewhere in between. From west to east, they had those commercial uses. As they knew, this (project) had been sited at the back corner of Walmart. They had a church and nursing home over there. Then to the east, they had some fragmented single family homes where development further east in that section would pretty much be impossible because there were so many single family homes. So in each direction, this would provide a transition point that was less than dense than the denser edge and more dense than they far edge. It seemed like a logical transition, said Mr. Thompson.

In addition, as Mr. Anderson alluded, this was an in-demand housing type. Mr. Thompson said they had two Universal Development entities within reach of the town in which he lived. They were extremely popular with people, as Mr. Anderson said, who simply did not want the trouble of maintaining a bigger house where they raised their kids, but wanted to stay in the town in which they raised their children or where they had always lived. These types of constructions were most attractive to folks over 55, who did not want maintenance problems anymore.

According to the Comprehensive Plan, that segment of the population, 55 and over, was the fastest growing segment of Wadsworth – or at least it was in 2011. That plan also identified a need for 1,300 to 2,300 additional housing units in part for those population groups. As they presented this concept, Mr. Thompson, it was because their Annexation Policy says they should present a development concept plan when considering annexation. Mr. Anderson and this company were not new to this business. They understand that a concept like this and approval of it in the long run, if approved, was a product of a lengthy dialogue between the community and the company. So they wanted to give them concept now to explain why it was that Universal proposed to annex this land, or why the landowners proposed to annex it and Universal was acting as their agent.

Some of the pictures provided in the packet were of an actual Universal community. Those were not computer generated scans, they were a picture of one in Hermitage, Pennsylvania that existed right now. They were also all over Northeast Ohio if anyone had a need to see or know locations. All in all, they were requesting this annexation because they wanted to propose a project that they believed it would be a positive improvement to the Wadsworth community. That was why they were presenting this basic concept that night.

Mr. Anderson added that all of the streets and the improvements were private, not maintained by the City at all. It would be a gated community. They would access public sewer and water through the appropriate

channel. The density was three units to an acre versus typical housing, which was four units to the acre for housing developments. So from that side, it was a plus, he said. It was not a drain on a community. It was not taxing the school systems. It was a plus-plus for most communities.

**Mr. Williams** brought a point of order to the Council President, who indicated that he continue. Mr. Williams stated that the matter before Council that night was whether the parcels should be annexed into the City. What might or might not be built on it was for the Planning Commission, not them. Once it was annexed, there could be a completely different project to come forward. He did not feel that it was in order at that time.

Mr. Thurber inquired about whether the presentation was finished. The answer from Mr. Thompson was yes. He also asked to respond to the comments. The only reason that they thought it was in order was because the Annexation Policy said that “you should pass annexations that include a development concept plan that is in keeping with your Comprehensive Plan and it says that you should not give favorable consideration to a proposal that doesn’t.” They weren’t trying to re-state Mr. Williams’ point and they weren’t trying to jump the gun, said Mr. Thompson, but did not want to leave out a part of their Annexation Policy. It seemed like they should present at least a basic concept. He said that he did appreciate Mr. Williams’ point.

Mr. Williams asked Mr. Kaiser for a ruling on that.

Mr. Kaiser said he did not have anything to add, but what was said, was true. They were looking at annexation only. However, their annexation documents did suggest that the applicant’s enclose some kind of plan or sketch what they would intend to do with the property if the annexation was successful. He would keep point points in mind. Furthermore, in regard to what was shown there, they were right, the devil was in the details. It would still have to go to Planning Commission depending on what the property was zoned. This was still one or two steps down the road. There might have to be some changes or tweaks to the plan. But Mr. Kaiser thought what they were doing was just giving a general idea of products, housing developments that they had created elsewhere and, if this land was annexed and given whatever zoning that they were looking for, this was what they would develop. He thought it at least gave them an idea of what they might expect in the future.

“May or may not expect in the future,” Mr. Williams responded. He recalled on South Main Street, south of St. Edward’s, there was an annexation of 40 acres. They allowed that to come into the City. Once it came in, they were offered a totally different plan, which was rejected. Correct, said Mr. Kaiser. Mr. Williams said he was going by history. Mr. Kaiser said that was why it would still have to go to Planning Commission for their review and approval. They would be looking as part of the whole rezoning. They were going to be looking at the plan and the annexation policy in order to determine zoning. Zoning would determine the density of any residential product would be. But he was right. There was no guarantee that this would be the actual plan submitted. He would probably suggest that it was pretty close to what they envisioned. Again, without knowing what the zoning would be, he could not make any statement or comment as to whether or not it was sufficient. They needed to look at it for what it was – a bird’s eye view of what they would like to put there.

Mr. Thurber thanked them and said their thoughts were appreciated. If there was nothing further, he asked Ms. Guenther to read the legislation again.

**ORDINANCE 20-039 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO ACCEPT THE APPLICATION FOR ANNEXATION OF 81.9108 ACRES OF LAND KNOWN AS BEING PART OF WADSWORTH TOWNSHIP TO THE CITY OF WADSWORTH AND TO DECLARE AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member Thomas Stugmyer
- Location: Reimer Road

Mr. Stugmyer made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to place Ordinance No. 20-039. An all in favor vote was taken and all Council members present voted in favor of the motion. **Ordinance 20-039 advanced to second reading for the meeting of August 18, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE 20-040 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO MAKE A SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATION OF \$3,928.30 IN THE 110 – GENERAL FUND FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN PERSONAL SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2020**

- Sponsored by President of Council Bob Thurber

Mr. Copley asked requested an explanation for the two ordinances, 20-040 and 20-041, from the City Auditor, before they took action on them. Ms. Fix said the ordinances basically moved the budget from a capital fund – the general capital improvement fund – to the general fund, which was more of an operating fund. It was for the purchase of equipment, which was not capital, to be added to Vehicles. It included items such as lights. It was more of a correction of a budgeting of a few items. She added that it was a kind of ongoing educational process with departments in their budgeting process. They were basically making a correction for budgeting purposes. Mr. Copley thanked her.

Mr. Copley made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-040. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines. Nays: None. Mr. Copley called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-040 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE 20-041 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO AUTHORIZE A REDUCTION OF \$3,928.30 IN THE APPROPRIATIONS OF THE 425 FUND – GENERAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR PURPOSES OTHER THAN PERSONAL SERVICES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2020 AND TO DECLARE AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by President of Council Bob Thurber\

Mr. Copley made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-041. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins. Nays: None. Mr. Copley called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-041 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE 20-042 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICE TO ADVERTISE FOR, RECEIVE BIDS AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE SEVILLE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member David Williams

Mr. Williams asked if there were any questions on the legislation. Mr. Thurber said he understood they would try to call the question that night. Mr. Darlington asked on which side of Seville Road was the project – east or west of SR-57. Mr. Copley said it was part of the culvert replacement on the west side. Mr. Patrick responded that it was the west side of SR-57. It was Holmesbrook Creek. There was some damage there a few years ago. This was part of the project to replace that culvert. It was over 50 years old and the City had received OPWC (Ohio Public Works Commission) grant funding for a large portion of it. Mr. Darlington thanked him.

Mr. Williams made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-042. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams. Nays: None. Mr. Williams called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-042 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE 20-043 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICE TO APPLY FOR AND ENTER INTO A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF AVIATION REGARDING FISCAL YEAR 2021 OHIO AIRPORT GRANT PROGRAM FUNDS FOR PAVEMENT IMPROVEMENTS TO THE WADSWORTH MUNICIPAL AIRPORT AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member David Williams

Ms. Guenther noted that for 20-043 a replacement had been sent out to correct the original ordinance, which was not the correct one. She asked if the Council members received a copy and Mr. Williams confirmed that it was received. She read the new title as listed above.

Mr. Williams made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-043. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer. Nays: None. Mr. Williams called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-043 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE 20-044 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE GIVING CONSENT TO THE OHIO DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT OF PERFORMING PAVEMENT REPAIRS, CRACK SEAL, AND PLACE A FINE GRADED POLYMER ASPHALT CONCRETE ON INTERSTATE ROUTE 76 FROM 7.34 (JUST WEST OF STATE ROUTE 57) TO 11.76 (MEDINA/SUMMIT COUNTY LINE) IN THE CITY OF WADSWORTH IN MEDINA COUNTY. THIS WILL INCLUDE TREATMENT OF ALL RAMPS AT THE SR57, SR94, AND SR261 INTERCHANGES, AGREEING TO COOPERATE WITH THE OHIO DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION IN REGARD TO THE PORTION OF THE PROJECT WITHIN THE WADSWORTH CORPORATION LIMIT, AGREEING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL UTILITY ACCOMMODATIONS, RELOCATIONS AND REIMBURSEMENTS RELATED TO SAID PORTION OF THE PROJECT, AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICE TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE OHIO DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION AGREEING TO THE AFORESAID TERMS**

## **FOR SAID PROJECT, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

- PID No. 91093 Project Name: MED IR 0076 7.34
- Sponsored by Council Member David Williams

Mr. Williams made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-044. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley. Nays: None. Mr. Williams called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-044 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

## **ORDINANCE 20-045 (1<sup>st</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 20-030 SECTION 2 TO INCLUDE THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LOST REVENUES ATTRIBUTABLE TO CORONAVIRUS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by President of Council Bob Thurber

Mr. Copley made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-045. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak. Nays: None. Mr. Copley called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams. Nays: None. **Ordinance No. 20-045 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

*The following legislation was read for the second time, having been previously read at different meetings on different days, and acted upon as follows:*

## **ORDINANCE NO. 20-034 (2<sup>nd</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SERVICE TO ADVERTISE FOR AND RECEIVE BIDS AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE PURCHASE OF A FORTY FIVE FOOT ARTICULATING TELESCOPIC AERIAL DEVICE INCLUDING BODY AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member Bruce Darlington

Mr. Darlington offered a quick comment on the ordinance. He'd talked with Mr. Patrick that day. The chassis would be finished in August (Mr. Patrick said more toward the end of August). Once the chassis was finished, continued Mr. Darlington, they would like to send it directly to the company to put on the body. If they got the ordinance passed that night, it would be ready to send. The equipment was in the budget and the amount was in the budget.

Mr. Darlington made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Williams, to suspend the three reading rule on Ordinance No. 20-034. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Stugmyer, Hines, Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington. Nays: Copley. Mr. Darlington called the question. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Haskins, Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines. Nays: Copley. **Ordinance No. 20-034 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

## **ORDINANCE NO. 20-035 (2<sup>nd</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO CHANGE THE ZONING DISTRICT CLASSIFICATION OF CITY LOTS 413 AND 414 FROM THE R-4 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO THE C-1 NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL DISTRICT**

*Public Hearing Set for August 18, 2020, by Video-Conferencing, at 5:00 p.m.*

- Sponsored by Council Member Thomas Stugmyer

- Recommended by the Planning Commission June 22, 2020

Ms. Guenther stated that individuals wishing to comment on the ordinance could send written comments by August 15, 2020 to the Council Office, 120 Maple Street, or contact the Clerk of Council for assistance at 330-335-2759.

Mr. Stugmyer made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to place Ordinance No. 20-035 on third reading. An all in favor vote was taken and all Council members present voted in favor of the motion. **Ordinance No. 20-035 advanced to third reading for the meeting of August 18, 2020.**

**ORDINANCE NO. 20-036 (2<sup>nd</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC SAFETY TO DONATE CERTAIN OLD FIRE EQUIPMENT FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO THE FOUR CITIES COMPACT FOR ITS FIRE SAFETY/EMT PROGRAM AND TO DECLARE AN EMERGENCY**

- Sponsored by Council Member Ralph Copley

Mr. Copley made a motion, which was seconded by Ms. Haskins, to place Ordinance No. 20-036 on third reading. An all in favor vote was taken and all Council members present voted in favor of the motion. **Ordinance No. 20-036 advanced to third reading for the meeting of August 18, 2020.**

*The following legislation was read for the third time, having been previously read at different meetings on different days, and acted upon as follows:*

**AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 20-032 (3<sup>rd</sup> RDG.): AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTIONS 154.473, 154.475, 154.484 AND SECTION 154.486 Tables B, C AND D, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY**

***Public Hearing Held July 21, 2020, by Video Conferencing, at 5:00 p.m.***

- *Individuals wishing to comment on the Wadsworth Sign Code changes may submit written comments by July 17, 2020 to the Council Office, 120 Maple Street. Contact the Clerk of Council for assistance: 330.335.2759*
- Sponsored by Council Member Thomas Stugmyer

Mr. Stugmyer made a motion, which was seconded by Mr. Yurchiak, to amend Ordinance No. 20-032 to amend the Wherefore Clause in Section 3 to be amended to read as follows: Wherefore this ordinance shall go into immediate effect provided it receives two-thirds vote of all members of City Council. If it receives approval by majority of the members but less than a two-thirds vote, it shall be effective at the earliest time permitted by law. An all in favor vote was taken and all Council members present voted in favor of the motion. **Ordinance No. 20-032 was amended.**

Mr. Stugmyer continued that because of the Downtown Façade Improvement Grant moving through and that they did not have to go into an extended period on those; as they were all awarded in May; and so the Architectural Design Committee could move forward with it; he made a motion to set aside Council Rule 17 and allowing them to vote on the ordinance that night, to allow all of the grant application to move forward and to be approved. Ms. Haskins seconded the motion. An all in favor vote was taken and all Council members present voted in favor of the motion. **Motion Passed.**

Mr. Stugmyer called the question on Amended Ordinance No. 20-032. Mr. Thurber called for a vote. A roll call vote was taken. Ayes: Yurchiak, Williams, Darlington, Stugmyer, Hines, Copley, Haskins. Nays: none. **Amended Ordinance No. 20-032 declared to have been adopted July 21, 2020.**

**OTHER BUSINESS:**

Mr. Thurber mentioned a couple of items that he thought were of interest. To Mr. Patrick, he offered congratulations on his recent wedding. To Mr. Darlington, he recognized an 87<sup>th</sup> birthday today. Mr. Thurber thanked him for all that he did for them.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Mr. Copley made a motion to adjourn, which was seconded by Mr. Stugmyer. All were in favor. **The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:42 p.m.**

---

Clerk of Council

---

President of Council